



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,782	01/02/2002	Ronald A. Katz	228/052	7708	
75	590 02/12/2003				
Attention: Reena Kuyper		EXAMINER			
A2D, L.P.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Suite 315 WOO, STELLA L					
9220 Sunset Bly	vd.				
Los Angeles, C	A 90069		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2643		
		DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/037,782 Applicant(s)

Katz

Examiner

Art Unit

		Stell	lla Woo	'	2643	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the corres	pondence address	
	for Reply					
THE I - Extens mailing - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a grade of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n no event, however, m the statutory minimum and will expire SIX (8) the application to becor	may a reply be n of thirty (30) MONTHS fro ome ABANDO	be timely filed O) days will be rom the mailin ONED (35 U.S	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).	6
Status	patem term adjustment. אין ספר פון					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					- •
2a) 🗌		ction is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for form arte Quayle, 19	nal matte)35 C.D.	rs, prosec 11; 453	cution as to the merit	s is
	ition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>22-71</u>			is/are	i pending in the applic	ation.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/ar	e withdrawn from cor	nsideration.
5) 🗆						
6) 💢	Claim(s) 22-71				is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)					
8) 🗌	Claims					equirement.
	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a a) □ accepte	d or b)	□ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply			pproved	b)□ disapproved by t	the Examiner.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35	ن U.S.C.	§ 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav					·
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).		this National Stage	
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				~1	
a) [_				3).	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic) and/or 121.	
Attachm	nent(s)	•				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	mmary (PTO	-413) Paper h	No(s)	
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent	Application (f	PTO-152)	
3) [X] Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/037,782 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 42 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 42 recites the audio signals as being "provided to said terminal via an autodialer." However, according to applicant's specification (see page 24, lines 20-35), the audio signals are provided via a voice generator 44, not an autodialer.

Claim 62 recites interface structure which "receives said caller customer number data entered by a particular caller only as billing data and receives said caller credit card number data only as billing data from a different caller." However, the examiner fails to find any description of the recited subject matter within applicant's specification.

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 22-23, 25, 46-49, 54-56, 65-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szlam et al. (US 4,797,911, hereinafter "Szlam") in view of Gordon et al. (US 4,763,191, hereinafter "Gordon").

Szlam discloses a voice-data control system comprising:

interface structure (trunk interface control unit 10a13, ANI decoder 10a24, voice recognition module 10a32);

memory structure (mainframe 16, message recorder; col. 17, lines 27-46); coupling structure (cross-point switch 13 couples with operator terminals 12a-12j); displaying (customer information is sent to an available operator terminal for display; col. 12, lines 55-64);

processing structure (system controller 11).

Szlam differs from claims 22-23, 25, 46-49, 54-56, 65-71 in that it does not specify testing credit card number data. However, Szlam is directed to a "customer" account servicing system which allows for sales transactions (placing an order from a catalog or advertisement, col. 1, lines 17-20, 45-48) and Gordon teaches the well known receiving of credit card number data from new customers (col. 2, line 65 - col. 3, line 3) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such receiving and testing of credit card number data from new customers, as taught by Gordon, within the system of Szlam for the billing of sales orders.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 23 and 54, the customer enters credit card information by dialing (Gordon, col. 3, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 25, the coupled terminal is a remote operator terminal 12 (Szlam, Figure 1).

Regarding claim 67, in Szlam, customer information, telephone number manually entered by the call and data already stored in database, is displayed at the operator terminal (col. 12, line 22 - col. 13, line 36).

Regarding claim 68, Szlam provides for recording audio signals via a message recorder for later playback to an operator terminal (col. 17, lines 27-46).

Regarding claims 69, Szlam provides for automatic call distribution (ACD) routing of calls via system controller 11 (col. 13, lines 43-53).

4. Claims 24, 50-53, 57-61, 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Szlam and Gordon, as applied to claims 22-23, 25, 46-49, 54-56, 65-71 above, and further in view of Kauffman (US 4,710,955).

The combination of Szlam and Gordon differs from claims 24, 50-53, 58-61, and 63 in that it does not specify testing for use limit. However, as taught by Kauffman (col. 13, lines 59-65), it is well known in the interactive voice-telephony art to test caller data for limiting the number of uses by the caller such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to modify the combination of Szlam and Gordon by incorporating the use of such testing of call

Application/Control Number: 10/037,782

Page 5

Art Unit: 2643

data signals, as taught by Kauffman, in order to allow for the limiting of the number of system uses by callers.

Regarding claim 63, in Szlam, calls are placed to verify credit card information (col. 10, lines 35-38).

5. Claims 26-41, 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szlam in view of Kauffman.

Szlam discloses a method for controlling voice-data communications comprising the steps of:

cuing (via message player 10a9);

selectively receiving (via trunk interface control unit 10a13, voice recognition module 10a32, ANI decoder 10a24);

processing (via system controller 11) and storing (via mainframe database 16 and message recorder; col. 17, lines 27-46); and

transferring to at least one live operator station (col. 12, lines 55-62; col. 13, lines 1-42) where at least certain digital data signals indicative of caller data are displayed (customer information, telephone number manually entered by the call and data already stored in database, is displayed at the operator terminal; col. 12, line 22 - col. 13, line 36); and

confirming (the operator verbally verifies caller data; col. 13, lines 40-42).

Szlam differs from claims 36-38, 41-52, 58 in that it does not specify testing for use limit. However, as taught by Kauffman (col. 13, lines 59-65), it is well known in the interactive voice-

Application/Control Number: 10/037,782

Page 6

Art Unit: 2643

telephony art to test caller data for limiting the number of uses by the caller such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to modify Szlam by incorporating the use of such testing of call data signals, as taught by Kauffman, in order to allow for the limiting of the number of system uses by callers.

Regarding claims 27, 32, Szlam shows an ANI decoder 10a24.

Regarding claims 28, 33-34, in Szlam, customer account information is automatically retrieved based on the ANI information and displayed (col. 12, line 29 - col. 13, line 42).

Regarding claims 29, 40, 45, Szlam provides for recording audio signals via a message recorder for later playback to an operator terminal (col. 17, lines 27-46).

Regarding claims 30 and 41, the messages can be in analog or digital form (col. 17, lines 32-34).

6. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Szlam and Kauffman, as applied to claim 41 above, and further in view of Gordon.

The combination of Szlam and Kauffman differs from claim 44 in that it does not specify testing credit card number data. However, Szlam is directed to a "customer" account servicing system which allows for sales transactions (placing an order from a catalog or advertisement, col. 1, lines 17-20, 45-48) and Gordon teaches the well known receiving of credit card number data from new customers (col. 2, line 65 - col. 3, line 3) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such receiving and testing of credit card number data

Application/Control Number: 10/037,782 Page 7

Art Unit: 2643

from new customers, as taught by Gordon, within the combination of Szlam and Kauffman in

order to allow for credit card payment of orders placed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed January 2, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims presented are supported by Applicant's parent

application (S.N. 07/018,244), filed February 24, 1987. Applicant's claims recite, in various

forms, "receiving signals relating to the remote terminals, including digital control signals, digital

data signals, and audio signals." Applicant's specification describes the above feature being

performed using a status register 56 which indicates the reception of data signals, control signals

or audio signals with different connections being used to receive the different signal types (see

page 12, line 3 - page 13, line 8). Applicant's parent application S.N. 07/018,244 does provide

support for this feature.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,782 Page 8

Art Unit: 2643

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395. Any general inquiries should be directed to the Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

February 10, 2003

STELLA WOO PRIMARY EXAMINER